MDR Tracking Number: M5-04-1643-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution - General and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. The dispute was received on 2-06-04.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$460** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The office visits, injections, and fluoroscopy from 2/10/03 through 5/12/03 **were found** to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for the above listed service.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 2/10/03 through 5/12/03 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Decision and Order is hereby issued this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May 2004.

Regina L. Cleave Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division RLC/rlc

April 27, 2004

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution 4000 IH 35 South, MS 48 Austin, TX 78704 MDR Tracking #: M5-04-1643-01 IRO #: 5251 has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO. has performed an independent review of the care rendered to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed. The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case was reviewed by a licensed Doctor of Osteopathy board certified in anesthesiology and specialized in pain management. The reviewer is on the TWCC Approved Doctor List (ADL). The health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute. CLINICAL HISTORY This patient suffered head, neck and back injuries in a motor vehicle accident while on the job as a bus driver. His date of injury was . Imaging studies demonstrated both lumbar disc bulges associated with bilateral foraminal stenosis at L5/S1 and multiple indentations of the thecal sac in the cervical region. The patient maintains complaints of cervical pain with upper extremity radicular pain as well as lumbar pain with lower extremity pain radiation. DISPUTED SERVICES Under dispute is the medical necessity of office visit, injection, fluoroscopy. **DECISION** The reviewer disagrees with the prior adverse determination. BASIS FOR THE DECISION It is not uncommon for cervical pain with radicular pain associated and lumbar pain with radicular pain associated to stem from such disc pathology demonstrated in the imaging studies in this case. Such pain problems are frequently and effectively treated by epidural steroid injection. In fact, such treatment is generally considered standard of care unless radicular problems are so severe that surgical intervention is warranted. Lastly, there is no indication in the provided materials to suggest that the noted cervical and lumbar disc disruptions were not associated with the compensable injury. has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy

As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer,	_and/oi
any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.	
is forwarding this finding by US Postal Service to the TWCC.	
Sincerely,	